

MESSENGER-INQUIRER



University of Kentucky
College of Agriculture,
Food and Environment
Cooperative Extension Service



Farm Update

extension.ca.uky.edu

AGRICULTURE & NATURAL RESOURCES
EDUCATION

Clint Hardy

Daviess County Extension Office

12-03-22

No-Till Farming

Many in the county rely on some form of tillage to prepare fields for planting. The justification includes weed control, soil warming, improved planter function, smoother fields, slug and vole control, etc. I'm not judging the decision to use tillage, but herbicides and experience have proven that tillage is not required to control weeds, warm soil, or improve planter performance on our upland silt loam soils. There is no denying that tillage disrupts slug and vole habitat but, how much their damage actually reduces yield is difficult to quantify, and where they will occur is difficult to predict. The decline of no-till farming practices has an unfortunate effect on soil conservation. Tillage will not improve soil but does increase the risk of erosion. Yes, the use of poultry litter or cover crops will offset some of the structure and carbon loss, but it will not replace the effect of leaving the soil surface undisturbed and crop residues in place.

Drs. John Grove and Hanna Poffenbarger in the University of Kentucky Department of Plant and Soil Sciences have analyzed data from research field plots comparing long-term no-till and conventional till practices in Lexington and Princeton. The data revealed that no-till nearly

MESSENGER-INQUIRER

always out-yielded the adjacent conventional till plots across multiple years, rainfall totals, and nitrogen rates.

No-till was historically promoted as a soil moisture conservation tool, beneficial in dry periods of the growing season. The research at Lexington indicates no-till corn out yields conventional till, regardless of the growing season rainfall amounts. 2012 was the last very dry season. All yields were low but the no-till out-yielded the tilled fields by 12 bushels per acre. The five years from 2015 to 2019 were wetter at Lexington with growing season rainfall totals ranging from 32 inches, which is relatively dry to 52 inches, very wet. As you would expect, the average yield of both treatments increased as rainfall totals increased. But the data went on to indicate that no-till corn yield out-yielded conventional till each year by an average of 22 bushels per acre.

We know that leaving plant materials on the soil surface and roots undisturbed beneath the surface allows the mineralization of nitrogen from those residues over a long period of time rather than rapid mineralization induced by tillage. Therefore, the researchers also examined the yield effect in the no-till and conventional-till plots of different nitrogen rates which range from 0 to 300 units of nitrogen. As with the rainfall, no-till corn yields were consistently higher, regardless of nitrogen rates. The response of both tillage practices indicated 150 units of N was not enough to maximize grain yield, especially in the heavier rainfall years. Both locations are well-drained soils and the nitrogen is applied soon after emergence. The 150 unit N yield data suggest a split application at planting and side-dressing might have benefited yield by preventing some nitrogen loss in the wetter seasons.

MESSENGER-INQUIRER

Other measurements taken at Princeton indicate that no-till soils have greater porosity at the surface. This means that those large pores will easily retain and hold more of the water following a recent rain event than tilled soil. As soil dries, either by evaporation or plant uptake, the pores still holding water become continuously smaller, if soil becomes extremely dry, there is little difference between a no-till soil and the same soil after tillage. The common belief is that no-till conserves moisture by residue on the surface early in the season but shade provided by crop canopy allows conventional fields to match no-till water retention later in the season. We've learned that the soil structure provides benefits to water retention well beyond canopy closure.

In addition to soil erosion reduction, no-tilling these soils has led to increased "soil health" by the combined biological, chemical, and physical property interaction without disturbance. Any tillage be it minimum, vertical, horizontal, mulch, or turning causes loss of soil structure and organic matter. No-tillage results in organic matter retention for the greatest length of time for our soils and climate, resulting in increased water retention, nitrogen mineralization, and reduced erosion.

Educational programs of Kentucky Cooperative Extension serve all people regardless of economic or social status and will not discriminate on the basis of race, color, ethnic origin, national origin, creed, religion, political belief, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, pregnancy, marital status, genetic information, age, veteran status, or physical or mental disability. UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, KENTUCKY STATE UNIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND KENTUCKY COUNTIES, COOPERATING